Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-134369

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Savannah Wolfe

Date Verified: 3/26/2020 Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
Water WC-Q2-MAR20	3/5/2020	3/6/2020	Water	Explosives (8330A)

1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	Х		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	Х		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?		Х	

The case narrative indicated that some surrogate and LCS/LCSD recoveries were outside evaluation criteria. These issues are discussed further in the ADR report.

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria		No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?	Х	
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?	Х	
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?	Х	
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	Х	

3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3			
Date of Calibration:	3/4/2020			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-134369 Date Verified: 3/26/2020 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Savannah Wolfe

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:		CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration:	3/4/2020			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х	

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria					
Instrument:	CHHPLC G2 LUN		LUNA		
Date of Calibration:	3/3/2020				
	Yes	No	N/A		
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х				
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х				
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х		

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	CHHPLC G2 LUN		LUNA	
Date of Calibration:	3/3/2020			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-134369 Date Verified: 3/26/2020 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Savannah Wolfe

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	03040015.D		D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	3/4/2020		
	Yes No N		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	03	03040033.D	
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC_X3	
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	í	3/4/2020	
	Yes	Yes No N	
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	03030015.D		D
Instrument:	CHHP	CHHPLC_G2-LUNA	
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:		3/3/2020	
	Yes	Yes No N	
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	03030024.D		D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2-LUNA		LUNA
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	3/4/2020		
	Yes No N/		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename) 03160026		8.D		
Instrument:		CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:			6/2020	
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-134369 Date Verified: 3/26/2020 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Savannah Wolfe

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	031	03160036_8.D		
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	3/3/2020			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	Х			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	03160038_9.D			
Instrument:	CHHP	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	3/16/2020			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	03160050_1.D			
Instrument:	CHHP	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	3/17/2020			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	Х			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

6.0 Blank Samples

Blank Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were method blanks analyzed with every preparatory batch?	Х		
Were target analytes detected $> \frac{1}{2}$ the LOQ and $> 1/10$ the amount measured in any sample or $1/10$ the regulatory limit (whichever is greater)?		Х	
Were target analytes detected in method, trip or calibration blanks?		Х	

7.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	Х		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	Х		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-134369 Date Verified: 3/26/2020

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Savannah Wolfe

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

8.0 **Additional Qualifications**

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		Х	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?		Х	

Completeness 9.0

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		Х	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?		Х	
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	Х		