Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-137006 Date Verified: 7/1/2020 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

| Sample<br>Identification # | Date<br>Collected | Date<br>Received | Matrix | Analysis           |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--------------------|
| OS001-DP03-25              | 5/27/2020         | 5/28/2020        | Water  | Explosives (8330A) |
| OS501-DP03-25              | 5/27/2020         | 5/28/2020        | Water  | Explosives (8330A) |
| OS001-DP03-35              | 5/27/2020         | 5/28/2020        | Water  | Explosives (8330A) |
| OS001-DP03-45              | 5/27/2020         | 5/28/2020        | Water  | Explosives (8330A) |

#### 1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

| Verification Criteria   | Yes | No | N/A |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative? | Х   |    |     |
| Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?  | Х   |    |     |
| Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?                   |     | Х  |     |

The case narrative indicated that some surrogate and MS/MSD recoveries were outside evaluation criteria. These issues are discussed further in the ADR report.

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. This issue is discussed further in Section 7.0.

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

### 2.0 Sample Documentation

| Verification Criteria   | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels? | Х   |    |
| Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?            | Х   |    |
| Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?                                     | Х   |    |
| Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?  | Х   |    |

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-137006AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadierDate Verified: 7/1/2020AECOM ITR: Jeff AustGuidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330AAECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

#### **3.0** Initial Calibration

| Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria   |     |           |     |  |
|---|-----|-----------|-----|--|
| Instrument:   |     | CHHPLC_X3 |     |  |
| Date of Calibration:  | 3/  | /4/2020   |     |  |
|   | Yes | No        | N/A |  |
| Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below? | X   |           |     |  |
| Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?  | Х   |           |     |  |
| Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?                                  |     |           | Х   |  |
| Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?               |     |           | X   |  |
| If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?             |     |           | Х   |  |

| Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria   |     |                |     |  |  |
|---|-----|----------------|-----|--|--|
| istrument:  |     | CHHPLC_G2_LUNA |     |  |  |
| ate of Calibration:   |     | 5/14/2020      |     |  |  |
|   | Yes | No             | N/A |  |  |
| Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below? | Х   |                |     |  |  |
| Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?  | Х   |                |     |  |  |
| Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?                                  |     |                | Х   |  |  |
| Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?               |     |                | Х   |  |  |
| If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?             |     |                | Х   |  |  |

| Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria   |           |    |      |  |
|---|-----------|----|------|--|
| Instrument:   | CHHPLC G2 |    | LUNA |  |
| Date of Calibration:  |           |    |      |  |
|   | Yes       | No | N/A  |  |
| Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below? | Х         |    |      |  |
| Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?  | Х         |    |      |  |
| Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?                                  |           |    | Х    |  |
| Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?               |           |    | Х    |  |
| If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?             |           |    | Х    |  |

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-137006AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadierDate Verified: 7/1/2020AECOM ITR: Jeff AustGuidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330AAECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

### 4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

| Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)                              | 03040033.D |  | D   |
|---|------------|--|-----|
| Instrument:   | CHHPLC_X3  |  | X3  |
| Date of Initial Calibration Verification:                         | 3/5/2020   |  |     |
|   | Yes No N   |  | N/A |
| Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?                      | Х          |  |     |
| Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value? | Х          |  |     |

| Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)                              | 05140016.D |                | D |
|---|------------|----------------|---|
| Instrument:   | CHHP       | CHHPLC_G2-LUNA |   |
| Date of Initial Calibration Verification:                         | 5          | 5/14/2020      |   |
|   | Yes        | Yes No N/      |   |
| Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?                      | Х          |                |   |
| Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value? | X          |                |   |

| Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)                             | 05140026.D     |    | D    |
|--|----------------|----|------|
| Instrument:  | CHHPLC_G2-LUNA |    | LUNA |
| Date of Initial Calibration Verification:                        | 5/15/2020      |    |      |
|  | Yes            | No | N/A  |
| Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?                     | Х              |    |      |
| Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value? | Х              |    |      |

### 5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | e) 0611032-3.D |    | 3.D |
|--|----------------|----|-----|
| Instrument:  | CHHPLC_X3      |    | _X3 |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6/12/2020      |    | 20  |
|  | Yes            | No | N/A |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х              |    |     |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | Х              |    |     |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х              |    |     |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 06110048-9.D |    | -9.D |
|--|--------------|----|------|
| Instrument:  | CHHPLC_X3    |    | _X3  |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6/12/2020    |    | 20   |
|  | Yes          | No | N/A  |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х            |    |      |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | X            |    |      |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?                    | Х            |    |      |

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-137006 Date Verified: 7/1/2020 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 06120019-20.D |    | 20.D |
|--|---------------|----|------|
| Instrument:  | CHHPLC_X3     |    | X3   |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6/12/2020     |    | 20   |
|  | Yes           | No | N/A  |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х             |    |      |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | Х             |    |      |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х             |    |      |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 061 | 06120031-2.D |  |
|--|-----|--------------|--|
| Instrument:  | CH  | CHHPLC_X3    |  |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6   | 6/12/2020    |  |
|  | Yes | Yes No       |  |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х   |              |  |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | X   |              |  |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х   |              |  |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 06  | 0617007-9.D |  |
|--|-----|-------------|--|
| Instrument:  | CH  | CHHPLC_X3   |  |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6   | 6/17/2020   |  |
|  | Yes | Yes No      |  |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х   |             |  |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | X   |             |  |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х   |             |  |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 06170014.D<br>009-1501.D |    | -   |
|--|--------------------------|----|-----|
| Instrument:  | CHHPLC_X3                |    | _X3 |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6/17/2020                |    | 20  |
|  | Yes                      | No | N/A |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х                        |    |     |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | Х                        |    |     |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х                        |    |     |

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-137006 Date Verified: 7/1/2020 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 0617007-8.D |                | 8.D |
|--|-------------|----------------|-----|
| Instrument:  | CHHP        | CHHPLC_G2_LUNA |     |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6/17/2020   |                | 20  |
|  | Yes         | No             | N/A |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х           |                |     |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | X           |                |     |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х           |                |     |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 061  | 06170019-20.D  |  |
|--|------|----------------|--|
| Instrument:  | CHHP | CHHPLC_G2_LUNA |  |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6    | 6/17/2020      |  |
|  | Yes  | Yes No         |  |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х    |                |  |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | X    |                |  |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х    |                |  |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | -   | 007-1001.D<br>008-7101.D |     |
|--|-----|--------------------------|-----|
| Instrument:  |     | CHHPLC_G2_LUNA           |     |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6   | 6/19/2020                |     |
|  | Yes | No                       | N/A |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х   |                          |     |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | X   |                          |     |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?                    | Х   |                          |     |

| Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)   | 007-8201.D<br>008-8301.D |                |     |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|-----|
| Instrument:  | СННР                     | CHHPLC_G2_LUNA |     |
| Date of Calibration Verification:  | 6                        | 6/19/2020      |     |
|  | Yes                      | No             | N/A |
| Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?                                   | Х                        |                |     |
| Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence? | Х                        |                |     |
| Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?                     | Х                        |                |     |

### 6.0 Sensitivity

| Sensitivity Criteria  | Yes | No | N/A |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements? | Х   |    |     |
| Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?                             | Х   |    |     |

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-137006AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadierDate Verified: 7/1/2020AECOM ITR: Jeff AustGuidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330AAECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

### 7.0 Additional Qualifications

| Additional Qualification Criteria                                    | Yes | No | N/A |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| Were common laboratory contaminants detected?                        |     | Х  |     |
| Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)? | Х   |    |     |

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. Qualification of data is shown in the table below; results were reported from the primary column unless otherwise noted.

| Sample ID     | Analysis   | Analyte               | RPD   | Qual |
|---------------|------------|-----------------------|-------|------|
| OS501-DP03-25 | Explosives | 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene | 47.9  | J    |
| OS001-DP03-35 | Explosives | RDX                   | 44.5  | J    |
| OS001-DP03-45 | Explosives | 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene | 58.8  | J    |
| OS001-DP03-45 | Explosives | RDX                   | 66.6  | J    |
| OS001-DP03-45 | Explosives | 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene | 113.8 | J    |

#### 8.0 Completeness

| Completeness Criteria   | Yes | No | N/A |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| Were any data rejected during the verification process?   |     | Х  |     |
| Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?  |     | Х  |     |
| Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized? | Х   |    |     |