

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
G0078-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0077-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
PZ020-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0075-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0076-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0024-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0087-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0080-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0086-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0070-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0079-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0081-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0082-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0090-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
G0088-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
PZ016-19A	6/9/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
PZ019-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
NW020-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW021-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A)
G0083-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
NW022-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW023-19A	6/10/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A)
G0109-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0099-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0067-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0118-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0110-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0114-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0108-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0098-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0097-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
G0112-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0084-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0113-19A	6/8/2019	6/11/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)

1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	X		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	X		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?	X		

The laboratory case narrative indicated that some explosives surrogates recovered outside of evaluation criteria. The case narrative also indicated that some holding times and MS/MSD and LCS/LCSD recoveries were outside evaluation criteria. These issues are discussed further in the ADR report.

Some explosives, DOC, and alkalinity were detected in method blanks. This issue is discussed further in Section 6.0. Some VOA vials were received with headspace greater than 6mm and the RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. These issues are discussed further in Section 10.0. A discrepancy was noted between some sample labels and the COC. This issue is discussed further in Section 2.0. A sample was received with a broken lid. This issue is discussed further in Section 11.0. An explosives CCV %D was outside of evaluation criteria. This issue is discussed further in Section 5.0.

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?		X
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?		X
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?		X
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	X	

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

A discrepancy was noted between some sample label IDs and the COC. Per the URS chemist, the samples were logged via the COC and no qualification of data was required.

3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPL G2 LUNA		
Date of Calibration:	5/7/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration:	5/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration:	7/1/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method RSK-175 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration:	04/15/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 25\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC IonChrom10		
Date of Calibration:	7/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 350.1 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Alp 3		
Date of Calibration:	6/21/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 353.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Alp 2		
Date of Calibration:	7/2/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 353.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Alp 2		
Date of Calibration:	7/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 353.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Alp 2		
Date of Calibration:	7/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Calibration:	6/28/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Calibration:	7/1/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Calibration:	7/2/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Calibration:	7/2/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 9060A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_SHI3		
Date of Calibration:	7/5/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

Method 9060A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_SHI2		
Date of Calibration:	7/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$?	X		

4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)			
Instrument:	05070015.D		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	CHHLPC_G2_LUNA		
	5/7/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)			
Instrument:	05080007.D		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	CHHLPC_G2_LUNA		
	5/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)			
Instrument:	0514B015.D		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	CHHPLC_X3		
	5/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	0514B033.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/15/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	06200015.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	06200033.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/21/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 ICV Criteria (Filename)	04151911.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	4/15/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9056A ICV	WC_IonChrom10		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 350.1 ICV Criteria	10:12		
Instrument:	WC_Alps 3		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/21/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 353.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	WC Alp 2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/2/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 353.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	WC Alp 2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 353.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	WC Alp 2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	17:26		
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/28/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	19:39		
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/1/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	16:10		
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/2/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	17:51		
Instrument:	WC Astoria		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/2/2018		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9060A ICV Criteria (Filename)	11:27		
Instrument:	WC_SHI3		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/5/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9060A ICV Criteria (Filename)	15:26		
Instrument:	WC_SHI2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06210044 5.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC G2 LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/22/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06210057_8.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/22/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06210069_70.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/22/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06210074_5.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/23/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?		X	

The %D for tetryl (16.9%) was above evaluation criteria. All associated sample results were nondetect and no qualification of data was required.

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06240013_4.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/24/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06240025_6.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/25/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06240034_5.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/25/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06270007_8.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/27/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06270019_20.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/27/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06270031_2.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/28/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06270034_5.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/28/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07030007_8.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/5/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07030019_20.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/5/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07030031_2.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/6/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06190043_5.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06190056_8.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06190069_71.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	007-7701_045-7901.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06200074_6.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/21/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06200087_9.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/22/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06200098_100.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/22/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06200087_9.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/22/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06260007_9.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/26/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?		X	

The %D for 3-nitrotoluene was outside evaluation criteria and the RFs indicated a high bias. Associated data was nondetect and no qualification was required.

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06260020_2.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/27/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06260033_5.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/27/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06260041_3.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/27/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020054_6.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020067_9.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020080_2.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06131954.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/13/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV %D or %drift for all target compounds \leq 25%?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06131969.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 25% of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06131980.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 25% of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06131981.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 25% of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	098F9801.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06141918.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/14/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06171902.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/17/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06171915.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/17/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06171925.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/17/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom10, All CCVs on 7/8/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom10, All CCVs on 7/8/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom10, All CCVs on 7/8/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 350.1, Instrument: WC_Alp 3, All CCVs on 6/21/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 353.2, Instrument: WC_Alp 2, All CCVs on 7/2/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 353.2, Instrument: WC_Alp 2, All CCVs on 7/3/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 353.2, Instrument: WC_Alp 2, All CCVs on 7/8/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 351.2, Instrument: WC_Astoria, All CCVs on 6/28/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 351.2, Instrument: WC Astoria, All CCVs on 7/1/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 351.2, Instrument: WC Astoria, All CCVs on 7/2/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 351.2, Instrument: WC Astoria, All CCVs on 7/2/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 9060A, Instrument: WC SHI3, All CCVs on 7/5/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 9060A, Instrument: WC SHI2, All CCVs on 7/8/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

6.0 Blank Samples

Blank Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were method blanks analyzed with every preparatory batch?	X		
Were target analytes detected $> \frac{1}{2}$ the LOQ and $> \frac{1}{10}$ the amount measured in any sample or $\frac{1}{10}$ the regulatory limit (whichever is greater)?	X		
Were target analytes detected in method, trip or calibration blanks?	X		

Blank ID	Parameter	Analyte	Concentration	LOQ	Units
280-461561/1-A	Explosives	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.365	0.40	ug/L
280-463944/1-A	DOC	DOC	0.341	1.0	ug/L
280-462530/5	Alkalinity	Alkalinity	32.5	10	ug/L

All analytical data were reported nondetect or at concentrations greater than five times (5X) the associated blank concentration and did not require qualification.

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

7.0 Field Duplicate Samples

Field Duplicate Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were field duplicate samples collected for this SDG? (if yes, list below)	X		
Were parent sample / field duplicate RPDs \leq 30% for water samples and \leq 50% for soils for analytes that had concentrations $>$ 5x the LOQ?	X		
Were the differences between the parent sample / field duplicate $<$ 2x the LOQ for analytes that had concentrations $<$ 5x the LOQ?	X		

Parent ID	Duplicate ID
NW020-19A	NW023-19A

8.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	X		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	X		

9.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		X	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?	X		

There was headspace larger than 6mm in some sample VOAs. Qualification of data is provided in the table below.

Field ID	Parameter	Analyte	Qualification
G0088-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
PZ016-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0084-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0086-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0078-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0108-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0097-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. Qualification of data is shown in the table below.

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	RPD	Qual
NW023-19A	Explosives	RDX	78.7	J
G0090-19A	Explosives	HMX	52.7	J

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-124986

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/1/2019

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	RPD	Qual
PZ016-19A	Explosives	HMX	49.1	J
PZ016-19A	Explosives	4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	70.6	J
PZ016-19A	Explosives	2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	81.4	J
G0012-19A	Explosives	HMX	122.8	J
G0012-19A	Explosives	RDX	65.4	J
G0084-19A	Explosives	HMX	41.3	J
G0080-19A	Explosives	2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	55.5	J
G0110-19A	Explosives	RDX	103.2	J
G0110-19A	Explosives	Tetryl	134.3	J
G0110-19A	Explosives	2,6-dinitrotoluene	145.1	J
G0114-19A	Explosives	RDX	89.3	J
NW020-19A	Explosives	HMX	41.6	J
NW020-19A	Explosives	RDX	45.4	J
G0108-19A	Explosives	RDX	114.0	J
G0097-19A	Explosives	Tetryl	161.9	J
G0099-19A	Explosives	4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	59.0	J
G0067-19A	Explosives	RDX	42.7	J
G0081-19A	Explosives	2,4,6-trinitrotoluene	87.8	J
G0082-19A	Explosives	HMX	41.1	J

10.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		X	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?	X		
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	X		

A sample was received with a broken lid in a 500mL amber container. Sufficient volume remained for analysis and no qualification of data was required.