Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
G0096-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0094-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0089-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
PZ017R-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
PZ021-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
PZ018-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0092-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0091-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0022-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0296-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)
G0093-19A	6/11/2019	6/12/2019	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), MEE (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A) Sulfide (9034), Alkalinity (2320B)

### 1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	X		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	X		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?		X	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

The laboratory case narrative indicated that some surrogate and MS/MSD, recoveries were outside evaluation criteria and some samples were analyzed outside of holding time criteria. These issues are discussed further in the ADR report.

RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria and some VOA vials were received with headspace greater than 6mm. These issues are discussed further in Section 10.0. Some explosives CCV %Ds were outside of evaluation criteria. This issue is discussed further in Section 5.0. DOC was detected in a method blank. This issue is discussed further in Section 6.0.

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

### 2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?	X	
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?	X	
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?	X	
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	X	

#### 3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:		CHHPL_G2_LUNA		
Date of Calibration:		5/7/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:		CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration:		6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:		CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration:		7/1/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Method RSK-175 Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:		VGC_	J	
Date of Calibration:	0	4/15/20	)19	
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 25\%$ ?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X			
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_I	onChr	om7
Date of Calibration:	7/15/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument: WC_IonChron			om8
Date of Calibration:		6/3/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 350.1 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument: WC_A			3
Date of Calibration:		7/2/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 353.2 Initial Calibration Criteria					
Instrument:			WC Alp 2		
Date of Calibration:		7/3/2019			
	Yes	No	N/A		
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X				
Was $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X				

Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument: W			WC_Astoria	
Date of Calibration:	7/1/2019		9	
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X			
Was $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X			

Method 9060A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	W	C_SH	<b>I2</b>
Date of Calibration:	7	7/8/201	9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \ge 0.99$ ?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)
Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

## 4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	05070015.D		
Instrument:	CHHLI	PC_G2_	LUNA
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/7/2019		
	Yes	N/A	
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within ± 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	05080007.D		
Instrument:	CHHLPC_G2_LUNA		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/8/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	00	6200015	.D
Instrument:	CE	<b>IHPLC</b>	_X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/20/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	00	5200033	.D
Instrument:	CE	<b>IHPLC</b>	_X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/21/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	0'	7010015	.D
Instrument:	CF	HPLC	_X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/1/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	0	07010033.D		
Instrument:	CI	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:		7/1/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X			
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X			

Method RSK-175 ICV Criteria (Filename)	04	04151911.D		
Instrument:	VGC_J			
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	4/15/2019			
	Yes No N/A			
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X			
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 25% of the true value?	X			

Method 9056A ICV	WC_IonChrom7		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/15/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X		

Method 9056A ICV	WC_IonChrom8		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X		

Method 350.1 ICV Criteria	10:31		
Instrument:	W	WC_Alp 3	
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7	//2/2019	9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X		

Method 353.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)	WC_Alp 2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

**Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)** 

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria (Filename)		19:39	
Instrument:	WC_Astoria		ria
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/1/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X		

Method 9060A ICV Criteria (Filename)		15:26	
Instrument:	WC_SHI2		[2
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	7/8/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X		

### **5.0** Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020019.D		D.D
Instrument:	CHHPI	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA	
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?		X	

The CCV %D for RDX (22.9%) was outside of evaluation criteria. The RFs indicated a high bias. Qualification of data is shown in the table below.

Field ID	Parameter	Analyte	Qualification
G0093-19A	Explosives	RDX	J
G0089-19A	Explosives	RDX	J
PZ017R-19A	Explosives	RDX	J
PZ021-19A	Explosives	RDX	J

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020031_2.D		2.D
Instrument:	CHHPI	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA	
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/3/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?		X	

The %D for tetryl (16.3%) was outside evaluation criteria. The RFs indicated a high bias. All associated samples were nondetect and no qualification of data was required.

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020043_4.D		4.D
Instrument:	CHHP	CHHPLC_G2_LUNA	
Date of Calibration Verification:	,	7/3/2019	
	Yes No N/A		N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?		X	

The %D for tetryl (16.6%) was outside evaluation criteria. The RFs indicated a high bias. All associated samples were nondetect and no qualification of data was required.

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06280037_9.D		9.D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		_X3
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/29/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06280050_2.D		2.D
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC_X3	
Date of Calibration Verification:	6	6/29/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	062	06280063_5.D	
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X3	
Date of Calibration Verification:	6	6/29/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06280073_5.D		5.D
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X3	
Date of Calibration Verification:	6	6/29/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020029_31.D		31.D
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC X3	
Date of Calibration Verification:	,	7/2/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	07020043_5.D		5.D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		_X3
Date of Calibration Verification:	7/2/2019		9
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 15% of the true value?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	0	06141932.D				
Instrument:		VGC_J				
Date of Calibration Verification:		6/14/2019			6/14/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A			
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X					
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X					
Was the CCV %D or %drift for all target compounds ≤ 25%?	X					

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	0	06141947.D				
Instrument:		VGC_J				
Date of Calibration Verification:		6/14/2019			6/14/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A			
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X					
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X					
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 25% of the true value?	X					

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06141960.D		0.D			
Instrument:		VGC_J				
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/14/2019			6/14/2019		19
	Yes	No	N/A			
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X					
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X					
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 25% of the true value?	X					

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	06171915.D		5.D
Instrument:		VGC_J	
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/17/2019		19
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 25% of the true value?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	0	617192	5.D		
Instrument:		VGC_J			
Date of Calibration Verification:		6/17/2019		6/17/2019	
	Yes	No	N/A		
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X				
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X				
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm$ 25% of the true value?	X				

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom7, All CCVs on 7/15/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom7, All CCVs on 7/19/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom8, All CCVs on 6/3/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom8, All CCVs on 7/9/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom8, All CCVs on 7/16/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 350.1, Instrument: WC_Alp 3, All CCVs on 7/2/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 353.2, Instrument: WC_Alp 2, All CCVs on 7/3/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Method 351.2, Instrument: WC_Astoria, All CCVs on 7/1/2019		No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 9060A, Instrument: WC_SHI2, All CCVs on 7/8/2019	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm$ 10% of the true value?	X	

### 6.0 Blank Samples

Blank Criteria		No	N/A
Were method blanks analyzed with every preparatory batch?	X		
Were target analytes detected $> \frac{1}{2}$ the LOQ and $> \frac{1}{10}$ the amount measured in any sample or $\frac{1}{10}$ the regulatory limit (whichever is greater)?		X	
Were target analytes detected in method, trip or calibration blanks?	X		

Blank ID	Parameter	Analyte	Concentration	LOQ	Units
280-463944/1-A	DOC	DOC	0.341	1.0	ug/L

All analytical data were reported nondetect or at concentrations greater than five times (5X) the associated blank concentration and did not require qualification.

### 7.0 Field Duplicate Samples

Field Duplicate Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were field duplicate samples collected for this SDG? (if yes, list below)	X		
Were parent sample / field duplicate RPDs $\leq$ 30% for water samples and $\leq$ 50% for soils for analytes that had concentrations $>$ 5x the LOQ?	X		
Were the differences between the parent sample / field duplicate $\leq 2x$ the LOQ for analytes that had concentrations $\leq 5x$ the LOQ?	X		

Parent ID	Duplicate ID
PZ017R-19A	PZ021-19A
G0096-19A	G0296-19A

### 8.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	X		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	X		

#### 9.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		X	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-125040 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 8/12/2019 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

**Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)** 

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

There was headspace larger than 6mm in some sample VOAs. Qualification of data is provided in the table below.

Field ID	Parameter	Analyte	Qualification
PZ018-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0092-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0091-19A	RSK-175	Methane	J

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. Qualification of data is shown in the table below.

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	RPD	Qual
G0089-19A	Explosives	RDX	71.6	J
G0022-19A	Explosives	HMX	43.1	J
G0296-19A	Explosives	MNX	42.3	J
G0094-19A	Explosives	MNX	57.3/79.9	J
PZ018-19A	Explosives	HMX	48.6	J

### 10.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		X	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?			
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	X		