

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
EW7-PM29A-6-25	6/4/2021	6/5/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
EW7-PM29B-6-35	6/4/2021	6/5/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
EW7-PM26A-6-25	6/4/2021	6/5/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
EW7-PM26B-6-35	6/4/2021	6/5/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
EW7-PM25A-6-25	6/4/2021	6/5/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
EW7-PM25B-6-35	6/4/2021	6/5/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)

### 1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	X		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	X		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?		X	

The case narrative indicated that some MS/MSD and surrogate recoveries were outside evaluation criteria and some analytes were detected in method blanks. These issues are discussed further in the ADR report.

The case narrative also indicated that the RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria and some dissolved gas VOAs had headspace greater than 6mm. These issues are discussed further in Section 9.0. Some samples were further preserved by the lab upon receipt. No qualification was required.

# CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

## 2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?	X	
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?	X	
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?	X	
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	X	

## 3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	<b>3/2/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	<b>5/1/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration:	5/1/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration:	5/2/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method RSK-175 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	VGC_J		
Date of Calibration:	6/24/2020		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 25\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_IonChrom11		
Date of Calibration:	6/12/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 350.1 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_Alps 4		
Date of Calibration:	6/14/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 350.1 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_Alps 4		
Date of Calibration:	6/15/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 353.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_Alps 2		
Date of Calibration:	6/9/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_Astoria		
Date of Calibration:	6/9/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 9060A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC_SHI4		
Date of Calibration:	6/8/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

### 4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>03020037.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>3/3/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>020-1401.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/1/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>019-1401.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X5</b>		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/2/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>028-2301.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X5</b>		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/2/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06242011.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/24/2020</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 9056A ICV</b>	<b>WC IonChrom11</b>		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/12/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 350.1 ICV Criteria	WC Alp 4		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/14/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 350.1 ICV Criteria	WC Alp 4		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/15/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 353.2 ICV Criteria	WC Alp 2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/9/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria	WC Astoria		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/9/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9060A ICV Criteria	WC SHI4		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/8/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

### 5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06090007-9.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/9/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06090021-2.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/9/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06090033-4.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/10/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06090038-9.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/10/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06100021.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/11/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06100027.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/11/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06110007-8-9.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/11/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>06110012-3.D</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC_X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>6/11/2021</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		



## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method RSK-175 CCVRT Criteria (Filename)	004F0401.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/7/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)	019F1901.D		
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/7/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom13, All CCVs on 6/18/2021	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 350.1, Instrument: WC_Alp 4, All CCVs on 6/14/2021	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 350.1, Instrument: WC_Alp 4, All CCVs on 6/15/2021	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 353.2, Instrument: WC_Alp 2, All CCVs on 6/9/2021	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 351.2, Instrument: WC_Astoria, All CCVs on 6/9/2021	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 9060A, Instrument: WC_SHI4, All CCVs on 6/8/2021	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

### 6.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	X		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	X		

### 7.0 Internal Standard (IS) Recoveries

Method 8260B Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were internal standards spiked for all samples and standards?	X		
Were internal standard areas within -50% to + 100% of the ICAL midpoint standard area?	X		
Were retention time $\pm$ 30 seconds from the retention time of the midpoint standard of the ICAL?	X		

### 8.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		X	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?	X		

A sample VOA had headspace above 6mm. Qualification of data is shown below.

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	Qual
EW7-PM29B-6-35	RSK-175	Methane	<b>J</b>

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. Qualification of data is shown in the table below; results were reported from primary column unless otherwise noted.

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	RPD	Qual
EW7-PM26A-6-25	Explosives	HMX	47.8	<b>J</b>
EW7-PM26A-6-25	Explosives	RDX	136.4	<b>J</b>
EW7-PM26A-6-25	Explosives	4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	44.1	<b>J</b>

# CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149471

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/22/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

## 9.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		X	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?		X	
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	X		