

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149013

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/18/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
OS001-DP06-25	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP06-35	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP06-45	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW050R-DP06-20	6/1/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW050R-DP06-35	6/1/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW050R-DP06-25	6/1/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS501-DP06-25	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS003-DP06-25	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS003-DP06-35	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS003-DP06-45	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP06-25	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP06-35	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP06-45	6/2/2021	6/3/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)

1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	X		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	X		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?	X		

The case narrative indicated that some surrogate and MS/MSD recoveries were outside evaluation criteria and some analytes were detected in method blanks. These issues are discussed further in the ADR report.

The case narrative also indicated that the RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria and some sample VOAs had headspace greater than 6mm. These issues are discussed further in Section 7.0.

The cooler receipt form indicated some discrepancies between the COC and a sample label ID. This issue is discussed further in Section 2.0.

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149013

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/18/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?		X
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?		X
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?		X
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	X	

The cooler receipt form indicated a discrepancy between the COC and a sample label ID. Per the AECOM chemist, the sample was logged via the COC and no qualification was required.

3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration:	3/2/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration:	5/1/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149013

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/18/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X5		
Date of Calibration:	5/1/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)			
Instrument:	03020037.D		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	CHHPLC X3		
	3/3/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)			
Instrument:	020-1401.D		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	CHHPLC X3		
	5/1/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)			
Instrument:	019-1401.D		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	CHHPLC X5		
	5/2/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149013

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/18/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06090007-9.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/9/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06090021-2		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/9/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06090033-4.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/10/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06100007.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/10/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149013

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/18/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06100013.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/10/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	007-0901.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/10/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	06100021.D		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	6/10/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

6.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	X		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	X		

7.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		X	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?	X		

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives samples was above evaluation criteria. Qualification of data is shown in the table below; results were reported from primary column unless otherwise noted.

CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-149013

AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 6/18/2021

AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	RPD	Qual
OS001-DP06-25	Explosives	RDX	71.7	J
OS001-DP06-45	Explosives	2,4,6-trinitrotoluene	40.8	J
OS001-DP06-45	Explosives	4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	77.6	J
OS001-DP06-45	Explosives	2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	64.3	J
OS501-DP06-25	Explosives	RDX	77.6	J

8.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		X	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?		X	
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	X		