

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
NW022-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
PZ004-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0099-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
PZ016-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
PZ015-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0089-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0097-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0098-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0112-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
NW220-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
NW020-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
NW021-22A	5/16/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0067-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0118-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0110-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0109-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0114-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0108-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0084-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0085-22A	5/15/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)
G0113-22A	5/14/2022	5/17/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A), Nitrate, Nitrite (353.2), Ammonia (350.1), TKN (351.2), Methane (RSK-175), DOC (9060A), Sulfate (9056A), Alkalinity (2320B), Sulfide (9034)

# CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

## 1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	X		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	X		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?	X		

*Validator comments in italics.*

Method RSK-175:

The method requirement for no headspace was not met. The following volatile samples were analyzed with significant headspace in the sample container(s): G0098-22A (280-162442-8) and G0112-22A (280-162442-9). Significant headspace is defined as a bubble greater than 6 mm in diameter. *This issue is discussed further in Section 7.0.*

Method 8330A:

The continuing calibration verification (CCV) associated with batch 280-575777 for method 8330 recovered outside acceptance criteria for 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene. Analyte was reported ND from the primary instrument. The following sample is impacted: G0113-22A (280-162442-21). *This issue is discussed further in Section 5.0.*

The laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) for preparation batch 280-575684 and analytical batch 280-575728 for method 8330 recovered outside control limits for the following analytes: m-Nitrotoluene (73-125%R) at 68%R. The LCSD recovered within control limit in the confirmation instrument. m-Nitrotoluene is ND in the primary and confirmation instrument. The associated sample is impacted: G0113-22A (280-162442-1). *This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

The %RPD between the primary and confirmation column exceeded 40% for 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene, HMX and RDX for the following samples: PZ016-22A (280-162442-4), G0097-22A (280-162442-7), G0112-22A (280-162442-9), NW220-22A (280-162442-10), NW020-22A (280-162442-11) and G0118-22A (280-162442-14) in preparation batch 280-575663 and analytical batch 280-576029 for method 8330. The results from both columns has been qualified and reported in accordance with the laboratory's QAS. *This issue is discussed further in Section 7.0.*

Surrogate recovery for the following sample in preparation batch 280-575663 and analytical batch 280-576041 for method 8330 was outside the upper control limits: G0098-22A (280-162442-8). Evidence of matrix interference is present; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed. Surrogate recovered within control limit in the primary instrument. *Since the surrogate was recovered above the control limit and no analytes were detected in sample G0098-22A, no data are affected or qualified. This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

Surrogate recovery for the following sample in preparation batch 280-575663 and analytical batch 280-576029 for method 8330 was outside the upper control limits: G0118-22A (280-162442-14). Evidence of matrix interference is present; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed. Surrogate recovered within control limit in the confirmation instrument. *This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

# CHAAP Data Verification

**Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442**

**AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande**

**Date Verified: 7/20/2022**

**AECOM ITR: S. Louie**

**Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)**

**Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)**

**Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034**

Method 2320B:

Total Alkalinity as CaCO<sub>3</sub> was detected in method blank MB 280-576106/32 at a level that was above one half the LOQ but below the LOQ. Associated sample results are greater than 10x the method blank concentration. *No data are considered affected or qualified.*

Method 351.2:

Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl failed the recovery criteria low for the MS of sample NW020-22AMS (280-162442-11) in batch 280-576828. Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl failed the recovery criteria low for the MSD of sample NW020-22AMSD (280-162442-11) in batch 280-576828. *TKN was not detected in sample NW020-22A, therefore result qualified (UJ). This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

Method 353.2:

Nitrate Nitrite as N failed the recovery criteria low for the MS of sample G0089-22AMS (280-162442-6) in batch 280-576988. Nitrate Nitrite as N failed the recovery criteria low for the MSD of sample G0089-22AMSD (280-162442-6) in batch 280-576988. *Nitrate Nitrite as N was detected in G0089-22A, therefore result qualified (J). This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

Method 9056A:

Sulfate failed the recovery criteria high for the MS of sample G0118-22AMS (280-162442-14) in batch 280-576573. Sulfate failed the recovery criteria high for the MSD of sample G0118-22AMSD (280-162442-14) in batch 280-576573. *Sulfate was detected in sample G0118-22A, therefore result qualified (J). This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

Sulfate failed the recovery criteria high for the MS of sample G0097-22AMS (280-162442-7) in batch 280-576573. Sulfate failed the recovery criteria high for the MSD of sample G0097-22AMSD (280-162442-7) in batch 280-576573. *Sulfate was detected in sample G0097-22A, therefore result qualified (J). This issue is further discussed in the ADR report.*

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form for all other methods.

## 2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?	X	
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?	X	
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?	X	
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	X	

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

### 3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration:	1/4/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

The %RSD was met for all target analytes.

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3		
Date of Calibration:	1/5/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X5		
Date of Calibration:	3/2/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	CHHPLC X5		
Date of Calibration:	3/3/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$ ?	X		
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

Method RSK-175 Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	VGC J		
Date of Calibration:	9/24/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X		
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 25\%$ ?			X
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?			X
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X

An %RSD was not provided for methane, however, the  $r^2$  was met.

Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC IonChrom11		
Date of Calibration:	5/26/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria			
Instrument:	WC IonChrom10		
Date of Calibration:	5/26/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method 9056A Initial Calibration Criteria</b>			
<b>Instrument:</b>	WC_IonChrom13		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	5/16/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

<b>Method 350.1 Initial Calibration Criteria</b>			
<b>Instrument:</b>	WC_SKALAR_01		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	6/1/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

<b>Method 353.2 Initial Calibration Criteria</b>			
<b>Instrument:</b>	WC_Alp 2		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	6/2/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

<b>Method 351.2 Initial Calibration Criteria</b>			
<b>Instrument:</b>	WC_GAL1		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	6/1/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

<b>Method 9060A Initial Calibration Criteria</b>			
<b>Instrument:</b>	WC_SH15		
<b>Date of Calibration:</b>	12/10/2021		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was a minimum of three standards and a calibration blank used for ICAL?	X		
Was $r^2 \geq 0.99$ ?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

### 4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	280-562503/20		
<b>Instrument:</b>	CHHPLC X3		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	1/4/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	280-562503/38		
<b>Instrument:</b>	CHHPLC X3		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	1/5/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	280-567560/19		
<b>Instrument:</b>	CHHPLC X5		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	3/3/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	280-567560/28		
<b>Instrument:</b>	CHHPLC X5		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	3/3/2022		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 ICV Criteria (Filename)</b>	280-550959/13		
<b>Instrument:</b>	VGC J		
<b>Date of Initial Calibration Verification:</b>	9/24/2021		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		



## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 9056A ICV	WC IonChrom11		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/26/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9056A ICV	WC IonChrom10		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/26/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 9056A ICV	WC IonChrom13		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/16/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 350.1 ICV Criteria	WC SKALAR_01		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/1/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 353.2 ICV Criteria	WC Alp 2		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/2/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

Method 351.2 ICV Criteria	WC GAL1		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	6/1/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 9060A ICV Criteria	WC_SHI5		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/31/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each ICAL, prior to the beginning of a sample analysis?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X		

### 5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-575777/7-8		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	5/21/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?		X	

1,35-Trinitrotoluene exceeded criteria at 15.8%. Associated sample results are not detected, therefore no data were affected or qualified.

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-575777/20-21		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	5/21/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

The CCV was met for all target analytes.

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-576041/27-28		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	5/25/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

The CCV was met for all target analytes.

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576041/39-40</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

The CCV was met for all target analytes.

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576041/49-50</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

The CCV was met for all target analytes.

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576175/7-8</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576175/13-14</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X5</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/26/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-575728/21-22</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/21/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-575728/34,36</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/21/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576029/38-39</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576029/50-51</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576029/60-62</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576029/69-70</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>CHHPLC X3</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 15\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCVRT Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576169/2</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576169/75</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method RSK-175 CCVRT Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576170/2</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576170/89</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576170/106</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/25/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCVRT Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576348/2</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/26/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576348/76</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/26/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576348/89</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/27/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCVRT Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576349/2</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/26/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576349/89</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/27/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576349/106</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/27/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method RSK-175 CCV Criteria (Filename)</b>	<b>280-576349/123</b>		
<b>Instrument:</b>	<b>VGC J</b>		
<b>Date of Calibration Verification:</b>	<b>5/27/2022</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value?	X		

<b>Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom10, All CCVs on 5/31/2022-6/2/2022</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

<b>Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom11, All CCVs on 5/31/2022-6/1/2022</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

<b>Method 9056A, Instrument: WC_IonChrom13, All CCVs on 6/3/2022</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

<b>Method 350.1, Instrument: WC_SKALAR_01, All CCVs on 6/1/2022</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

<b>Method 353.2, Instrument: WC_Alp 2, All CCVs on 6/2/2022</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

<b>Method 351.2, Instrument: WC_GAL1, All CCVs on 6/1/2022</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	



## CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

Method 9060A, Instrument: WC_SHI5, All CCVs on 5/31/2022- 6/1/2022	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

Method 2320B, Instrument: WC_AT3, All CCVs on 5/24-25/2022	Yes	No
Was a CCV analyzed after every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X	
Were the CCVs for all analytes within $\pm 10\%$ of the true value?	X	

### 6.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	X		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	X		

### 7.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		X	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?	X		

The RPD between the primary and confirmation column for some explosives were above evaluation criteria. Qualification of data is shown in the table below; results were reported from the primary column unless otherwise noted.

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	RPD	Qual
PZ016-22A	Explosives	2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	62	J
G0097-22A	Explosives	HMX	41.7	J
G0112-22A	Explosives	RDX	123.1	J
NW220-2A	Explosives	RDX	45.1	J
NW020-2A	Explosives	RDX	46.5	J
G0118-2A	Explosives	RDX	147.6	J

The method requirement for no headspace was not met. The following volatile samples were analyzed with significant headspace in the sample container(s): G0098-22A (280-162442-8) and G0112-22A (280-162442-9). Significant headspace is defined as a bubble greater than 6 mm in diameter. The detected Methane results are qualified as estimated concentrations (J).

Sample ID	Analysis	Analyte	Qualification
G0098-22A	RSK-175	Methane	J
G0112-22A	RSK-175	Methane	J

# CHAAP Data Verification

Laboratory and SDG#: Eurofins 280-162442

AECOM Chemist: D. Casagrande

Date Verified: 7/20/2022

AECOM ITR: S. Louie

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2019)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A, 353.2, 350.1, 351.2, RSK-175, 9060A, 2320B, 9056A, 9034

## 8.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		X	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?		X	
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	X		