Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-154857 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 11/18/2021 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
OS003-DP07-45	10/28/2021	10/29/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP07-25	10/28/2021	10/29/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS501-DP07-25	10/28/2021	10/29/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS001-DP07-45	10/28/2021	10/29/2021	Water	Explosives (8330A)

1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?	X		
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?	X		
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?		X	

The case narrative indicated that some surrogate recoveries were outside of evaluation criteria. This issue is discussed further in the ADR report.

No other issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?	X	
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?	X	
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?	X	
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	X	

3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	CHE	IPLC_X	X3	
Date of Calibration:	3/2/2021			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-154857 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 11/18/2021 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	CHHPLC X3			
Date of Calibration:	5/1	1/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	CHHPLC X5			
Date of Calibration:	5/1/2021			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	СНН	CHHPLC X5		
Date of Calibration:	5/2/2021			
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	X			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	X			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			X	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			X	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-154857 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 11/18/2021 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	03020037.D		D
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC_X3	
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	3/3/2021		
	Yes	Yes No N/	
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	020-1401.D		D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/1/2021		
	Yes No N/		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within ± 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	019-1401.D		D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		X5
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/2/2021		
	Yes No N/		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	02	028-2301.D	
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X5	
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	5/2/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	X		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X		

5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	11030028-9.D		.9.D
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		_X3
Date of Calibration Verification:	11/3/2021		21
	Yes No N/		N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X		
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-154857 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 11/18/2021 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	11030040-1.D			
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/4/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	11030046-7-1.D			
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/4/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	110	11040007-9.D		
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/4/2021		
	Yes	Yes No N		
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	11040013-4.D			
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/4/2021		
	Yes	N/A		
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-154857 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 11/18/2021 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	11040020-1.D			
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/4/2021		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	110	11040031-2.D		
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/5/2021		
	Yes	Yes No N		
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	110	11040035-6.D		
Instrument:	СН	CHHPLC X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	1	11/5/2021		
	Yes	Yes No N		
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	X			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	X			

6.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	X		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	X		

7.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		X	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?		X	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-154857 AECOM Chemist: Jared DeSadier

Date Verified: 11/18/2021 AECOM ITR: Jeff Aust

Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018)

Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

8.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		X	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?		X	
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	X		