Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-159056AECOM Chemist: Jared GroganDate Verified: 3/23/2022AECOM ITR:Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)ECOM ITR:

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Sample Identification #	Date Collected	Date Received	Matrix	Analysis
NW050R-DP08-20	2/22/2022	2/24/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW050R-DP08-35	2/22/2022	2/24/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A)
NW050R-DP08-60	2/22/2022	2/24/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A)
OS003-DP08-25	2/22/2022	2/24/2022	Water	Explosives (8330A)

1.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Verification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any DoD QSM deviations noted in the laboratory case narrative?		Х	
Were DoD QSM corrective actions followed if deviations were noted?			Х
Were any issues noted in the cooler receipt form?		Х	

No issues were noted in the case narrative or cooler receipt form.

2.0 Sample Documentation

Verification Criteria	Yes	No
Were all samples documented correctly on the chain-of-custody (COC) and samples labels?	Х	
Were all sample identifications (IDs) documented correctly on sample labels?	Х	
Did samples listed on COCs match the sample labels?	Х	
Were samples relinquished properly on the COC?	Х	

3.0 Initial Calibration

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria				
Instrument:	CHHPLC Y		X3	
Date of Calibration:	1/	/4/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-159056

AECOM Chemist: Jared Grogan

Date Verified: 3/23/2022 Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

AECOM ITR:

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria					
Instrument:		CHHPLC_X3			
Date of Calibration:	1/5/2022				
	Yes	No	N/A		
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х				
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х				
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х		

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria					
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3				
Date of Calibration:	1/	/5/2022			
	Yes	No	N/A		
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х				
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х				
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х		

nstrument:		CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration:	1/2	21/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х			
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х			
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х	
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х	

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-159056

AECOM Chemist: Jared Grogan

Date Verified: 3/23/2022 Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

AECOM ITR:

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A Initial Calibration Criteria					
Instrument:		CHHPLC_X5			
Date of Calibration:		1/22/2022			
	Yes	No	N/A		
Was at least a five point calibration completed for all analytes prior to sample analysis and one option below?	Х				
Option 1: RSD for each analyte $\leq 20\%$?	Х				
Option 2: If linear least squares regression was used was the $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
Option 3: If non-linear regression was used was the coefficient of determination $r^2 \ge 0.99$?			Х		
If non-linear regression was used were 6 points used for second order and 7 points for third order?			Х		

4.0 Initial Calibration Verification [(ICV) Second Source]

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	280-562503/20		/20
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	1/4/2022		
	Yes No N		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	280-562503/29		/29
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	1/5/2022		
	Yes No N		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	280-562503/38		/38
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X3		X3
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	1/5/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	280-564056/19		/19
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		X5
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	1/21/2022		2
	Yes No N		N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-159056

AECOM Chemist: Jared Grogan

Date Verified: 3/23/2022 A Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

AECOM ITR:

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A ICV Criteria (Filename)	280-564056/28		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Initial Calibration Verification:	1/22/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the ICV analyzed after each calibration?	Х		
Was the ICV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

5.0 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-	280-567370/7_9		
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:		3/1/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-	280-567370/21_2		
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X3		
Date of Calibration Verification:		3/1/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-567372/7_8		
Instrument:	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:	3/1/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х		
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	Х		
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х		

Laboratory and SDG#: TADenver 280-159056AECOM Chemist: Jared GroganDate Verified: 3/23/2022AECOM ITR:Guidance: DoD QSM Version 5.1 (January 2017)

Applicable QAPP: Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant QAPP (Brice and AECOM, October 2018) Applicable Analytical Methods: 8330A

Method 8330A CCV Criteria (Filename)	280-	280-567372/20_1		
Instrument:	CH	CHHPLC_X5		
Date of Calibration Verification:		3/1/2022		
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the CCV analyzed daily before sample analysis?	Х			
Was the CCV analyzed every 10 field samples and at the end of the analysis sequence?	X			
Was the CCV for all analytes within \pm 15% of the true value?	Х			

6.0 Sensitivity

Sensitivity Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Was the laboratory sensitivity consistent with project (QAPP) requirements?	Х		
Did all analytes meet sensitivity requirements?	Х		

7.0 Additional Qualifications

Additional Qualification Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were common laboratory contaminants detected?		Х	
Was professional judgment used to qualify data (if yes, list below)?			Х

8.0 Completeness

Completeness Criteria	Yes	No	N/A
Were any data rejected during the verification process?		Х	
Were any samples lost, broken, or in any other manner in not verified?		Х	
Were requested sample analyses performed, the correct analyte lists used, and correct sample preparation and analyses methods and units utilized?	Х		